UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,486	03/11/2005	Olaf Joeressen	915-006.073	7159
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/527,486	JOERESSEN ET AI	SEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		

	7 thola IVI. Lewis	2104
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.070 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be- appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6-8,10-13 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5,9,14 and 16.		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the cited references fail to disclose wherein generating the character subset with the inference logic comprises identifying a start of an entry of the new word, and inserting, into the character subset, the most probable letter stored in the database of words for starting a new word. Examiner disagrees. Dostie teaches that when a user selects the space bar or a period while constructing a partial entry, the system is programmed to terminate the search for the next letter(s) and prepare for a new search based on a new partial entry. The act of pressing a space bar, period or other non-alphabetic character is used to denote an implicit end of the current search (word entry), and the start of a new search (new word entry) (paragraph 181). Therefore, it is clear that Dostie teaches identifying the start of an entry of a new word. Furthermore, the act of selecting a character (after a search has terminated) identifies a start of en entry of a new word.

Dostie further teaches that when a user enters at least one character, the system automatically begins searching for completion candidates and displays the completion candidates on a user interface (paragraphs 79 and 82). He further teaches that the system may also display a predicted set of next probable characters (element 28c in Figure 29, paragraph 252). Applicant argues that only once at least one character is entered, does the system display a predicted set of next probable characters, and thus the completion candidates or next most probable characters are not equivalent to the most probable letters for starting a new word. Examiner disagrees. The claims do not explicitly define what is considered the start of a new word (i.e., the first letter, the first three letters, etc.) Furthermore, claim 1 recites, in part, "the most probable letters...for starting the new word"; this implies that more than one letter is considered the start of a word. Although Dostie requires a user to enter at least one character, the character subset or completion candidates displayed thereafter may still be considered the most probable letters for starting the new word. There is no limitation that prohibits a letter(s) from being entered when identifying the most probable letters for starting a new word.